

Response to Restriction Requirement

I. Specification

Applicants have amended the specification, as noted above, pursuant to the Examiner's request to include continuing information in the specification about the allowed parent patent.

II. Restriction Requirement


In the Office Action of June 10, 2005, a restriction requirement election of one of the following groups of claims for continued prosecution has been required:

Group I: Claims 59, 63, 65, 69, 74, 78, 79, 87, 88, 91, 94, 188, 206, 225, 226 and 228, drawn to a method for assessing the coagulation system, classified in class 435, subclass 13; and

Group II: Claim 225, directed to a method of determining a coagulation status of a patient, classified in class 435, subclass 13.

In response to this restriction requirement, Applicants elect without traverse **Group I**, claims 59, 63, 65, 69, 74, 78, 79, 87, 88, 91, 94, 188, 206, 225, 226 and 228, for the purpose of continued prosecution in this application. Applicants reserve the right to file a divisional(s) for the claims not elected in response to the restriction requirement. An early and favorable action on the merits of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,




By: Judith A. Roesler
Reg. No. 34,237
Tel. (919) 620-2915

June 21, 2005
Customer No. 35467
bioMerieux, Inc.
100 Rodolphe Street
Durham, NC 27712

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date indicated above with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, Mail P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 23313-1450.


Susan Strickland

Date Deposited: **June 21, 2005**


Date of Signature: